

## 1U Newspaper Reports from British National Archives

authority on account of expenses of main roads up to Lady Day last. —The Clerk reported the receipt of summonses against the Highway Board and the waywardens of Roel and Hawling, for the non-repair of Deadmanbury road, on the Roel estate. The summonses were returnable at the last Petty Sessions, but there was no time to communicate with the Board, and he had obtained a remand until May 31st. The question was whether the Board would repair the road or defend the summonses. If the latter, a committee should be appointed to obtain evidence and get what assistance was desirable.—Mr. J. Walker: The road will sure to have to be done. If defeated here, Mr. Brocklehurst will take the matter to Gloucester. We have evidence that it has been repaired by the parish.—Mr. Cole: The Board has no evidence yet.—Mr. Reeve: What is the reason this road has come up now?—The Chairman: They applied to us to repair it, and we declined.—Mr. Baker: Had it not been for Mr. Stanton and Mr. Hone, nothing would have been said about it. I was accused of stealing stone.—Mr. Reeve: Is the road leading to a railway station or mill?—Mr. J. Walker: Yes, to Notgrove station.—Mr. Reeve: Then it is a change of circumstances that "bungs" the road up.—Mr. Brain: The road only leads to four farms. I should like to know how much it cost to repair the road which leads only to Great mill. We want our roads done as well as that.—Mr. Hyett: Yes, that road was in the vale.—The Clerk: I think the person issuing these summonses ought to give us some evidence as to its public repair. That was the meaning of Mr. Barrow's resolution.—Rev. W. D. Stanton: Every bit of legal work runs up a bill, and if this is a highway, the question is whether it is worth while to stand out against it. We must keep it in the same repair as it has been kept.—Mr. Brain: We can very easily do that. (Laughter).—Rev. W. D. Stanton: We cannot be compelled to do more unless circumstances are altered.—Mr. Brain: Two roads run together within 500 yards of each other.—Mr. J. Walker: But they do not terminate at the same point.—Mr. C. Jones: It is only a question for one

Winchcombe Highway Board meeting report  
Cheltenham Examiner, 8 May 1889

246, a decrease of 103.—The Surveyor of Highways reported an expenditure of £96 6s. 2d., his estimate for the next month coming to £111 11s. 4d. He said he had had to go to a much larger expense at Bishop's Cleeve than he had anticipated, and had had to get more stone to put on the road near the railway station, which was in a very bad hollow condition.—The Surveyor said Mr. F. J. Peacey refused to clean out a ditch in Great Mill-lane, contending that as two houses drained into it it became a sewer and he was not liable. Mr. Peacey's predecessor, the late Mr. Brain, always saw to this ditch, but then the whole of the property belonged to Mr. Brain, whereas it does not to Mr. Peacey. The consensus of opinion seemed to be that Mr. Peacey was liable, and it was decided to send him a written notice calling upon him to clean out the ditch.—The Surveyor spoke of about ten yards of land stone, which was

Winchcombe Guardians and District Council meeting report  
Gloucestershire Echo, 21 January 1907

## HINTS TO WINCHCOMBE DISTRICT COUNCIL.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE "ECHO."

Sir,—I read Mr. A. H. Edwards's remarks in Tuesday's issue with interest, and his paragraph No. 1 I propose to write from. Recently I have walked along the footpath leading from **Greet Mill** to Toddington, and this path runs through what should be a small coppice, but to-day is very little short of a small jungle. The footboards also are in an extremely deplorable condition, and unless they receive attention shortly there might possibly arise a claim for compensation against the Council for a broken limb or other injury. Another deplorable footpath is the one leading from the Evesham-Winchcombe main road to a point almost at **Greet Grove**, where the footpath (or bridle path, I should like to know definitely whether it is so) is absolutely impassable to pedestrians for overgrowing thorns and nettles, and these I can guarantee as to the strength that Mr. Edwards refers to alongside the highway.

In addition to these nuisances, the hunting bridge, situated between the Groveley's Farm and Milhampost Farm, is in an extremely dangerous condition. Year after year passes and no one appears to care whether it is safe or not. Why is it, I ask? There must be something wrong with the supervision, surely. May I suggest Mr. Edwards asks the Council to give their particular attention to these nuisances at their next meeting.

ANXIOUS.

September 7, 1927.

Gloucestershire Echo, 8 September 1927

## A WINCHCOMBE FOOTPATH

The Finance and General Purposes Committee reported that its attention had been drawn to the fact that a notice had been erected by the side of the road leading to **Greet Mill** containing the wording "Private Road to **Greet Mill** only," whereas in fact this was part of a public footpath leading to Toddington.

The committee recommended that steps be taken with a view to the correction of this notice.

The council agreed.

Report on Cheltenham Rural District Council meeting  
Tewkesbury Register, 15 October 1938

## FOOTPATH TO TODDINGTON

Concerning the notice erected by Messrs. Pearce Bros., alongside the road leading to **Greet Mill** from Winchcombe, the Finance and General Purposes Committee reported that a letter had been received from Mr. R. Pearce, stating that they would add to the existing notice the words, "Public Footpath only," as desired by the Council.

Tewkesbury Register, 10 December 1938