



ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
Wednesday 28 June 2017 at 10am
Council Chamber - Shire Hall, Gloucester

Present:

Cllr Phil Awford	Cllr Jeremy Hilton	Cllr Steve Robinson
Cllr Matt Babbage	Cllr Stephen Hirst	Cllr Rachel Smith
Cllr Robert Bird	Cllr Paul Hodgkinson	Cllr Vernon Smith
Cllr Richard Boyles	Cllr Carole Allaway Martin	Cllr Lynden Stowe
Cllr David Brown	Cllr Dr Andrew Miller	Cllr Klara Sudbury
Cllr Chris Coleman	Cllr Patrick Molyneux	Cllr Ray Theodoulou
Cllr Dr John Cordwell	Cllr Nigel Moor	Cllr Brian Tipper
Cllr Kevin Cromwell	Cllr Graham Morgan	Cllr Pam Tracey MBE
Cllr Stephen Davies	Cllr David Norman MBE	Cllr Robert Vines
Cllr Iain Dobie	Cllr Brian Oosthuysen	Cllr Eva Ward
Cllr Bernard Fisher	Cllr Shaun Parsons	Cllr Simon Wheeler
Cllr Andrew Gravells (Vice-Chairman)	(Chairman)	Cllr Jack Williams
Cllr Kate Haigh	Cllr Sajid Patel	Cllr Kathy Williams
Cllr Terry Hale	Cllr Loraine Patrick	Cllr Lesley Williams MBE
Cllr Tim Harman	Cllr John Payne	Cllr Suzanne Williams
Cllr Joe Harris	Cllr Alan Preeast	Cllr Roger Wilson
Cllr Mark Hawthorne MBE	Cllr Keith Rippington	Cllr Will Windsor-Clive
Cllr Colin Hay	Cllr Nigel Robbins OBE	
	Cllr Brian Robinson	

Apologies: Honorary Aldermen Bill Crowther, Bill Hobman, Terry Parker, John Sewell and Gordon Shurmer.

39. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 17 May 2017 were confirmed and signed as a correct record subject to the inclusion of Cllr Dr John Cordwell in the attendance list.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

40. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

A copy of the declarations of interest is attached to the signed copy of the minutes.

41. ANNOUNCEMENTS

a) Queen's Birthday Honours

The Chairman congratulated the following people from Gloucestershire who had been recognised in the Queen's Birthday Honours:

- Sarah Connolly CBE (Stroud) – awarded a DBE for services to music.
- Gillian Clipson (Chipping Campden) – awarded an MBE for services to Further Education as Deputy Chief Executive of the Association of Colleges.
- Margaret Bratty – awarded a BEM for services to ex-service personnel and as President of the Hardwicke and District Branch of the Royal British Legion.
- Gordon Roach – awarded a BEM for services to the community of Winstone.
- Detective Sergeant Nigel Hatten – awarded the Queen's Police Medal for distinguished service.
- Neil Le Chevalier, Director of Operations, South Western Ambulance Service – awarded the Queen's Ambulance Medal.

b) Gloucestershire Music

The Gloucestershire Youth Wind Orchestra and the Gloucestershire Jazz Orchestra had been awarded gold awards at the National Concert Band Festival in Warwick on 9 April 2017.

c) Geoplace National Street Gazetteer Exemplar 2017 Awards

The Council had received a gold performance award for the quality of its highways records street information reporting.

d) Data Protection

The Chairman reported that under the Data Protection Act, individuals and organisations that process personal information needed to register with the Information Commissioner's Office. Returning members were already

registered but newly elected members needed to register online. Guidance was available in Members' Matter.

e) USAF Fairford

The Chairman said that he had attended an event at USAF Fairford on 12 June 2017 and had been given the opportunity to see the aircraft based there. He thanked the staff at Fairford for how helpful and courteous they had been to visitors.

42. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Eleven public questions had been received. The following supplementary questions were asked:

Question 1 – Peter Jeffries referred to the number of children mentioned or referenced within the Ofsted report, he asked whether, of the 16-19 year olds known by the police, there were any other children that the Council did not know operationally.

In response, Cllr Richard Boyles stated that he would provide a written answer to the question.

Question 3 – Peter Jeffries referred to the Ofsted report, noting the difficulties in retaining staff but expressing concern around the wide spread serious failing and 'bullying and blame culture'. He asked what would be done to better interact with staff on the ground.

Cllr Richard Boyles explained that visits had been undertaken with frontline staff with assessments carried out internally for new managers. It was important to consider how frontline staff can bring up issues of concern to senior managers. Issues around Human Resources (HR) had been addressed with this being handled within the Council. It was important to ensure that staff had the confidence to come forward.

Question 5 – Peter Jeffries asked whether there was any merit to retaining the services of the Independent HR Consultant given the seriousness of the complaints.

Cllr Richard Boyles stated that they would be putting in place opportunities for staff to go to someone independent to report any complaints and to have confidence that they can report their concerns.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Question 6 – Peter Jeffries asked what accountability and challenge was being directed at the Chief Executive as he was ultimately responsible for the officer team.

Cllr Richard Boyles stated that the Chief Executive had taken firm actions to make changes and to put in place a competent team of interim managers to start up the work needed to fix the issues. It was important that there was stability and he was confident that the right action was being taken.

Question 9 – Peter Jeffries expressed concern that those at the most senior level including the Chief Executive were unaware of what was going on. He asked what actions were being taken to look into this.

Cllr Richard Boyles expressed his confidence in the Chief Executive and the work that was now being carried out.

Question 10 – Peter Jeffries referred to comments made by a judge in 2015 on a social care case around institutional failings before the independent review by Ofsted. He suggested that there needed to be a public and transparent review into this as opposed to just County Council scrutiny.

Cllr Mark Hawthorne explained that the Extraordinary Meeting being held later that day would give members an opportunity to discuss how best to proceed. The key priority was to pick up the lessons learned and to put in place the necessary processes, embedding them across the organisations. He emphasised that all members were Corporate Parents putting at the heart the thoughts and best interests of the children.

43. PETITIONS

Cllr Suzanne Williams presented a petition to Cllr Nigel Moor relating to children and road safety in Hesters Way Lane, Cheltenham.

Cllr Nigel Moor presented a petition to Cllr Vernon Smith on behalf of Great Rissington residents regarding a highway matter.

44. CORPORATE PARENTING

Cllr Richard Boyles, the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People, asked all members to support children in care in their divisions. He thanked those members who had signed the Corporate Parenting pledge.

A member said that he would do all that he could to support children in care in his division but it was not always straight forward as they might not wish to be approached directly due to their personal circumstances.

Answering a question, Cllr Boyles assured members that social workers were in place to support children in care.

45. MOTIONS

Motion 795 - Incinerator review and repeal motion

Cllr Lesley Williams proposed and Cllr Rachel Smith seconded the following motion:

This Council notes that the disastrous Urbaser Balfour Beatty (UBB) Incinerator Project has been plagued by mismanagement from the start of the process.

The Council notes that the contract held between UBB and the Council has been referred to the Competition and Markets Authority (CMA).

In light of this, the Council asks that the administration halt all work on the incinerator site until the CMA has returned a judgement.

This Council should commit to an immediate independent review of the incinerator contract, specifically examining the way in which the administration has conducted itself throughout the process.

This Council also requests that a cross-party working group with involvement of the six district councils to review the management of waste throughout Gloucestershire.

In moving the motion, Cllr Williams thanked campaigners for continuing to raise awareness of the issues surrounding Javelin Park. She said that there was a nagging doubt that local people did not know what was going on. She believed that work associated with the contract should be halted to allow all of the information to be made public.

Cllr Ray Theodoulou, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Change, stated that the Javelin Park contract had been tendered for in an open and transparent way. He said that the contract had been scrutinised repeatedly and it was evident that every other solution was either more expensive or the technology was unproven. The Javelin Park contract would save taxpayers in Gloucestershire more than £100 million. He noted that the construction of the plant had been underway for 8 months and a delay would cost taxpayers more money.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

In seconding the motion, Cllr Smith said that residents in her division were outraged that the Council had entered into a contract to build an incinerator. She believed that it was right and proper to pause the process to critically review the project and its associated costs. She stated that taxpayers money was being wasted if construction continued while there remained concerns around the legality of the contract. She called for a commitment to increase recycling rates with the district councils which would significantly reduce the amount of residual waste. She said that she was concerned that millions of pounds were being wasted when they could be used for other vitally important services.

A number of members spoke strongly against the construction of the incinerator. They said that the Conservative Group had previously made a commitment not to build an incinerator and the Planning Committee had unanimously rejected the planning application for an incinerator at Javelin Park in March 2013. Nevertheless the Administration were pressing ahead with the project when more cost effective and environmentally friendly alternatives were available. There was still confusion around the tonnage rates and the costs associated with the project. Members were concerned by the lack of openness and transparency around the contract and they called upon the Administration to halt the project to allow an independent review to take place.

A number of other members spoke strongly in support of the project. They believed that it was good value for taxpayers and it was clear that appropriate safeguards were in place. The construction of the incinerator was now well underway and it was ridiculous to think that the project could be halted at such an advanced stage. Cllr Stephen Davies, the member representing the Hardwicke and Severn Division which covered Javelin Park, said that he was reassured about concerns around the capacity of the incinerator when he had read the contract documentation.

In summing up, Cllr Williams stated that the strength of public feeling was clear for everyone to see. She said that people deserved to be given all the facts surrounding the contract.

On being put to a recorded vote, the motion was defeated.

The voting was as follows:

For (22): Cllrs David Brown, Chris Coleman, Dr John Cordwell, Iain Dobie, Bernard Fisher, Kate Haigh, Joe Harris, Colin Hay, Jeremy Hilton, Paul Hodgkinson, Graham Morgan, Brian Oosthuysen, John Payne, Nigel Robbins OBE, Steve Robinson, Rachel Smith, Klara Sudbury, Eva Ward, Simon Wheeler, Jack Williams, Lesley Williams MBE and Suzanne Williams

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Against (31): Cllrs Carole Allaway Martin, Phil Awford, Matt Babbage, Rob Bird, Richard Boyles, Kevin Cromwell, Stephen Davies, Andrew Gravells, Tim Harman, Terry Hale, Mark Hawthorne MBE, Stephen Hirst, Andrew Miller, Patrick Molyneux, Nigel Moor, Dave Norman, Shaun Parsons, Sajid Patel, Loraine Patrick, Alan Preest, Keith Rippington, Brian Robinson, Vernon Smith, Lynden Stowe, Ray Theodoulou, Brian Tipper, Pam Tracey MBE, Robert Vines, Kathy Williams, Roger Wilson and Will Windsor Clive

Motion 796 – Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service

Cllr Jeremy Hilton proposed and Cllr Bernard Fisher seconded the following motion:

This Council notes that since its creation under new boundaries in 1974 it has been the fire authority with responsibility for governing and running a fire and rescue service in Gloucestershire.

This Council notes that the Police and Crime Act received Royal Assent on 31 January 2017 and that the Act allows for the Police and Crime Commissioner to take over the governance of a fire and rescue service within their locality.

This Council notes the Gloucestershire Police and Crime Commissioner has employed consultants to prepare a takeover bid for Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service.

This Council notes with pride that we have one of the most cost effective and innovative fire and rescue services in England, probably the best fire and rescue service in the country.

This Council believes that a change in governance would be disruptive to the good management of our fire and rescue service and its collaboration with other emergency services and public bodies.

This Council, therefore, agrees that it is better for Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service to remain under the governance of Gloucestershire County Council and agrees that all members should work together to oppose a transfer of the responsibility of the fire authority away from Gloucestershire County Council.

In moving the motion, Cllr Hilton stated that the County Council had been running Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service (GFRS) for 43 years. He said that GFRS was recognised as providing one of the best fire and rescue services in the country. He referred to the superb way in which GFRS had responded to major events in the county, most notably the flooding in July 2007. Collaboration across the emergency services in Gloucestershire was already first rate with GFRS providing a co-

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

responder service to improve response times to medical emergencies in the more remote areas of the county.

He noted that GFRS was fully integrated within the County Council with the Chief Fire Officer an Operations Director responsible for ICT, Trading Standards, Registration, Coroners, the Road Safety Partnership and Emergency Planning alongside GFRS. GFRS worked closely with Adult Social Care in undertaking safe and well checks.

The Police and Crime Act made it clear that there had to be a sound business case for transferring fire and rescue services to a Police and Crime Commissioner. He questioned how any savings could be achieved when GFRS was viewed as amongst the most efficient in the country.

In seconding the motion, Cllr Fisher believed that there was an important distinction between a fire service and a police force. He called for the Council to send a strong message to the Government that GFRS should remain within Gloucestershire County Council.

Cllr Nigel Moor, the Cabinet Member for Planning and Infrastructure, thanked the Chief Fire Officer and his colleagues for the speed that checks had been undertaken following the Grenfell Tower disaster. He said that GFRS made a huge contribution to community safety in the county with close links to Children's Services, Adult Social Care, Public Health and Trading Standards. He noted that there was already a strong record of close collaboration with the Police.

Other members spoke strongly in support of GFRS remaining within Gloucestershire County Council. The integration of services at the Council worked well and was seen nationally as best practice. They failed to see any benefits from GFRS joining the Police under the control of the Police and Crime Commissioner. They were concerned that the Commissioner was using public money to engage consultants to examine the case for taking over GFRS. This was happening at a time when Gloucestershire Constabulary was facing serious questions following the publication of a HMIC report on child protection. They believed that the Commissioner should be prioritising his activities around the Police.

Members were concerned at the culture in the Police where it was evident that in the past there had been tension between the Commissioner and senior officers. They did not want to see this sort of situation arising at GFRS.

On being put to the vote, the following motion received unanimous support.

RESOLVED that

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

This Council notes that since its creation under new boundaries in 1974 it has been the fire authority with responsibility for governing and running a fire and rescue service in Gloucestershire.

This Council notes that the Police and Crime Act received Royal Assent on 31 January 2017 and that the Act allows for the Police and Crime Commissioner to take over the governance of a fire and rescue service within their locality.

This Council notes the Gloucestershire Police and Crime Commissioner has employed consultants to prepare a takeover bid for Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service.

This Council notes with pride that we have one of the most cost effective and innovative fire and rescue services in England, probably the best fire and rescue service in the country.

This Council believes that a change in governance would be disruptive to the good management of our fire and rescue service and its collaboration with other emergency services and public bodies.

This Council, therefore, agrees that it is better for Gloucestershire Fire and Rescue Service to remain under the governance of Gloucestershire County Council and agrees that all members should work together to oppose a transfer of the responsibility of the fire authority away from Gloucestershire County Council.

46. MEMBER QUESTIONS

Thirty six member questions had been received. The following supplementary questions were asked:

Question 2– Cllr Jeremy Hilton asked whether checks would be carried out on hotel chains and commercial buildings regarding the external cladding in light of recent incidents.

Cllr Nigel Moor explained that the immediate response had been to look at residential buildings, but that he would take up the points raised by the member with the Chief Fire Officer.

Question 3– Cllr Jeremy Hilton asked whether the member would agree that there was a need to encourage all properties to fit sprinkler systems.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Cllr Nigel Moor replied that it had to be a decision for the Chief Fire Officer and a bespoke approach.

Question 4 – Cllr Jeremy Hilton accepted that the panels on the outside of Shire Hall were safe but asked whether that was the case regarding the insulation used.

Cllr Nigel Moor explained that this was being tested.

Question 5 – Cllr Jeremy Hilton noted that a lot of building work had been carried out on Shire Hall. He asked why the opportunity had not been taken to install sprinklers.

Cllr Ray Theodoulou replied that any installations of this nature would be dependent on the advice of Fire Officers and the measures they felt were required.

Question 6 – Cllr Jeremy Hilton asked whether the member thought that the current establishment of children's social workers was sufficient to care for children of this County?

Cllr Richard Boyles replied that he would listen to officers regarding the assessments they made around the establishment.

Question 7 – Cllr Jeremy Hilton noted the vacancies the Council had for children's social workers and asked what work would be undertaken to ensure a full establishment.

Cllr Richard Boyles explained that the Council was active in the market to recruit new social workers and that there was a national shortage which made it difficult to attract experienced social workers. There was an ongoing programme in place and he would be happy to update the Council in the future on progress.

Question 8 – Cllr Rachel Smith asked whether the Council would commit to publishing the information on the costs of the incinerator following the judges ruling on public disclosure being in the public interest.

Cllr Ray Theodoulou stated that the judgement had been noted and steps were in place to conform to this. He looked forward to the outcome of deliberations of the working group looking at 'open contracting'.

Question 9 - Cllr Rachel Smith asked if it was possible to see a commitment to convert the Council's fleet of cars to low emission electric vehicles.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Cllr Nigel Moor explained that a report would be going to Environment and Communities Scrutiny on 12 July looking at a range of options regarding Air Quality Management.

Question 10 – Cllr Chris Coleman expressed concern that the parking scheme in his division had been first advised for February 2016, with consultation in April and was now planned for August 2017. He asked whether this was still the plan.

Cllr Nigel Moor stated that he could understand the member's frustration and that the plan was to go forward with this during the summer; it had not been able to be implemented over the Christmas period and then during election time.

Question 11 – Cllr Chris Coleman asked whether the parking scheme on the stretch of Gloucester Road would be revisited.

Cllr Nigel Moor stated that some errors were made but had been picked up and a revised layout was being worked on.

Question 13 – Cllr Chris Coleman stated that signs had gone out to residents in his divisions covering two parking zones asking them to stay clear, he asked whether this could be reviewed as it was causing a high level of disruption.

Cllr Nigel Moor stated that subject to the weather and the work programme he would be happy to make that undertaking.

Question 14 – Cllr Chris Coleman stated that the wrong telephone number had been provided on the letter to residents advising them on who to contact if they had questions on the parking scheme. He asked that this be improved in future.

Cllr Nigel Moor undertook to ensure that this was resolved.

Question 16 – Cllr Chris Coleman asked whether the Cabinet Member would assist with getting named individuals, including ex employees, to go to scrutiny to be held to account on the issues identified in the Ofsted report.

Cllr Richard Boyle stated that there was no requirement for ex employees or former members to appear before a scrutiny committee so he could not assist.

Question 18 – Cllr Chris Coleman stated that there was a real need for cross party working to effectively scrutinise children's services and asked for his assurance that there should be cross party representation.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Cllr Brian Robinson stated that while the Improvement Board was not in his remit, from a scrutiny committee point of view, the Children and Families Scrutiny Committee was working cross party.

Question 19 – Cllr Chris Coleman referred to Adoption West and stated that if there was no tracking of officer time then how could the Council account for the time spent on the project.

Cllr Richard Boyles replied that the Council did not track officers time in that manner.

Question 20 – Cllr Chris Coleman stated his pride at the Council's record with adoption and was pleased that part of the Ofsted report reflected this. He asked with regards to Adoption West, which of the Council's partners were high performers.

Cllr Richard Boyles stated that Gloucestershire was one of the highest performers and that Adoption West was required as mandated by government.

Question 21 – Cllr Chris Coleman asked for a written briefing to be provided to all members on any 'pay offs' that Officers had received following the Ofsted report.

Cllr Mark Hawthorne confirmed that he would provide that briefing.

Q23 – Cllr Chris Coleman asked that if the Council had been aware of the Ofsted report prior to the election, why it had not been issued before the public went to the ballot box.

Cllr Mark Hawthorne noted the pre-election (purdah) guidelines and stated that the Council as a whole had a collective corporate responsibility regarding vulnerable children.

Q25 – Cllr Lesley Williams stated that her understanding was that the 'Stonehouse Big Dig' was an ambition to lay a cable through the High Street in Stonehouse. She asked for assurances that the member would attend a public meeting with residents and traders to discuss this including any compensation for loss of earnings.

Cllr Nigel Moor stated that there was a meeting with officers on 6 July 2017 to discuss the current position and that he would discuss this with the member after that meeting.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

Question 27 – Cllr Lesley Williams asked whether the Cabinet Member was meeting with representatives from schools to ensure that everything regarding fire safety was in place including appropriate training.

Cllr Nigel Moor stated that he would discuss this with the Chief Fire Officer and get back to the member.

Question 29 – Cllr Lesley Williams stated that the general election had demonstrated how politically engaged young people now were and wanted to know if the council could do more to build on this.

Cllr Richard Boyles referred to the G15 Gloucestershire schools and the outstanding event at Gloucester City.

Question 30 – Cllr Lesley Williams asked that in light of the decision to remove the shadow positions from the council, could a new process be developed to ensure transparency.

In response Cllr Mark Hawthorne stated that he would take her comment away and consider the mechanisms in place around access to information.

Question 31 – Cllr Lesley Williams asked what the Leader would do to ensure all residents were appropriately represented in the Cabinet.

Cllr Mark Hawthorne stated that this was an important issue to ensure that the Council represented the communities it served. In the way the Council carried out public consultation it looked to engage with all groups including those that were hard to reach.

Question 36 – Cllr Kate Haigh asked for the assurances sought in the question regarding Women and LGBT+ communities in light of national developments.

In response Cllr Mark Hawthorne stated that he was not in a position to advise the female prime minister regarding the interests of women in the UK.

47. CABINET DECISION STATEMENT

RESOLVED to note the Cabinet Decision Statement for the meeting held on 29 March 2017.

48. INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION STATEMENTS

Referring to page 24 of the agenda pack, Cllr Colin Hay, the member representing All Saints and Oakley, noted that the information under the Priors and Oakley Flood Alleviation Scheme related to a different decision.

Cllr Hay said that County Council officers had failed to provide him with information about the scheme and they had not had proper discussions with Cheltenham Borough Council. Another member also expressed concern about the approach taken by County Council officers who were now resorting to legal action on a particular aspect of the scheme.

Cllr Vernon Smith, the Cabinet Member for Highways and Flood, apologised for the lack of engagement with local members and he offered to meet Cllr Hay on site.

Cllr Roger Wilson, the member representing Winchcombe, whose area was also affected by the scheme said that County Council officers had kept him informed along with Tewkesbury Borough Council and the local parish council.

RESOLVED to note the Statement of Individual Cabinet Member Decisions from 21 March to 31 May 2017 (note the revised statement appended to the minutes).

Post meeting note:

The Priors and Oakley Flood Alleviation Scheme appeared as the wrong heading for an Individual Cabinet Member Decision relating to a contract for the traffic signals' maintenance service taken on 29 March 2017.

49. APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES AND OTHER FORUMS

Consideration was given to a report providing details of the appointments to outside bodies and other forums. Nominations had been received for each bodies listed in appendix 1 to the report.

RESOLVED that appointments be made in accordance with the list appended to the minutes.

50. HONORARY ALDERMEN

Consideration was given to a report relating to appointments to the position of Honorary Alderman.

Minutes subject to their acceptance as a correct record at the next meeting

RESOLVED to confer the title of Honorary Alderman on the following former councillors at an Extraordinary Meeting of the Council to be held on 28 June 2017 at 2pm:

- *Tony Hicks*
- *Steve McHale*
- *David Prince*
- *Stan Waddington*

The meeting ended at 1pm

CHAIRMAN

STATEMENT OF INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

28 JUNE 2017

Statement of Individual Cabinet Member Decisions			
21 March to 31 May 2017			
Decision Date	Cabinet Portfolio Holder	Decision	Key Decision
21 Mar 2017	<p>Cllr Nigel Moor</p> <p>Cabinet Member for Fire, Planning and Infrastructure</p>	<p>Accountable Body Fund Administration</p> <p>Having fully considered all the available information, the Cabinet Member decided to reject the alternative options and take the recommended decision to:</p> <p><i>Delegate authority to the Commissioning Director: Communities and Infrastructure, in consultation with the Lead Cabinet Member and Strategic Finance Director, to enter into a funding agreement with GlosCol under which the County Council shall pay funding (that is £2.6m) to GlosCol from sums that have been loaned by the HCA to the County Council and which may result in the County Council becoming obliged to repay such loan to the HCA from the Council's own resources</i></p> <p>for the reasons set out in the published decision report.</p>	Yes
27 Mar 2017	<p>1. Cllr Dorcas Binns Cabinet Member for Older People</p> <p>2. Cllr Kathy Williams Cabinet Member for Long-term Care</p>	<p>Adult Care Demand Management Programme (Direct Award of a 5 month contract to deliver Phase 2B of the Programme)</p> <p>Having fully considered all the available information, the Cabinet Member for Older People and the Cabinet Member for Long Term Care decided to reject the alternative options and take the recommended decision to -</p> <p><i>1. Note the progress of the work undertaken with iMPower on the Demand Management Programme to date as summarised in this report.</i></p>	Yes

		<p>2. <i>Authorise the Commissioning Director: Adults & DASS to direct award a five month contract with a value not exceeding £400k to iMPower Consulting for the delivery of Phase 2B of the Programme.</i></p> <p>For the reasons set out in the published decision report.</p>	
29 Mar 2017	<p>Cllr Vernon Smith</p> <p>Cabinet Member for Highways and Flood</p>	<p>To include provisions for the submission of variant bids in the procurement process for the award of the contract for Traffic Signals Maintenance Services 2017</p> <p>Having fully considered all available information, the Cabinet Member: Highways and Flood decided to reject the alternative options and take the recommended decision to:</p> <p>Authorise the Commissioning Director: Communities and Infrastructure to include provision for the submission of the following variant bids in the procurement process for the award of the contract for the Traffic Signals Maintenance Services in addition to that already authorised in the Cabinet decision of 3rd February 2016:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) For tenderers to submit variant bids for the award of a contract with a term of 9 years subject to the Council (inter alia) having a contractual right to terminate the contract at any time in the event that the successful contractor fails to meet a minimum set of performance criteria and, 2) For tenderers to submit variant bids based on the replacement of all of the existing traffic signal maintenance equipment within the first 24 months of the contract term on being awarded a 9 year contract in accordance with Recommendation 1 above. <p>For the reasons set out in the report.</p>	Yes

Please contact Jo Moore, (Democratic Services Unit), if you have any queries about the decisions referred to in this statement.

Tel: 01452 324196

Email: jo.moore@gloucestershire.gov.uk

COUNCIL APPOINTMENTS

Organisation	Number of representatives	Nominations
Berkeley Site Stakeholder Group Meeting	2	Cllr Dr John Cordwell
		Cllr Brian Tipper
Cotswold Canals Project Board	1	Cllr Keith Rippington
Furniture Recycling Project	1	Cllr Pam Tracey MBE
Gloucestershire Airport Consultative Committee	2	Cllr Robert Vines
		Cllr Jack Williams
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Council of Governors	1	Cllr Andrew Gravells
Gloucestershire Local Access Forum	2	Cllr Robert Vines
		Cllr Dr John Cordwell
Gloucester United Schools	3	Cllr Pam Tracey MBE
		Jan Lugg (Gloucester City Councillor – nominated by the GCC Labour Group)
		Vacancy

Local Government Association (General Assembly)	4	Cllr Mark Hawthorne MBE
		Cllr Ray Theodoulou
		Cllr Paul Hodgkinson
		Cllr Lesley Williams MBE
Severn Estuary Partnership	1	Cllr Dr John Cordwell
Wye Valley AONB Joint Advisory Committee	2	Cllr Terry Hale
		Cllr Patrick Molyneux
Wye Valley Navigation Advisory Committee	1	Cllr Terry Hale
SHE Panel (County Council health and safety forum)	6	Cllr Dave Norman MBE
		Cllr Shaun Parsons
		Cllr Ray Theodoulou
		Cllr Colin Hay
		Cllr Kate Haigh
		Vacancy